1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
5		
6	ATTAC	OWA
7	JAMES RUSSELL KNOX,	
8	Petitioner,	CASE NO. C16-5502BHS
9	v.	ORDER REQUESTING RESPONSE AND SETTING
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	BRIEFING SCHEDULE
11	Respondent.	
12		
13	This matter comes before the Court on the Government's motion for	
14	reconsideration (Dkt. 13).	
15	On January 24, 2017, the Court granted Petitioner James Knox's ("Knox") petition	
16	concluding in part that the residual clause in the United States Sentencing Guidelines was	
17	void for vagueness. Dkt. 11. On March 6, 2017, the Supreme Court issued its decision in	
18	Beckles v. United States, 15-8544, 2017 WL 855781 (U.S. Mar. 6, 2017), concluding that	
19	"the Guidelines are not subject to a vagueness challenge under the Due Process Clause.	
20	The residual clause in § 4B1.2(a)(2) therefore is not void for vagueness." <i>Id.</i> at *6. The	
21	Government filed the instant motion after <i>Beckles</i> , seeking reconsideration of the Court's	
22	conclusion. Dkt. 13.	

1	"No response to a motion for reconsideration shall be filed unless requested by the	
2	court. No motion for reconsideration will be granted without such a request." Local	
3	Rules, W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h)(3). The Court finds that the Government's motion has	
4	merit. Therefore, the Court requests a response from Knox, which may be filed no later	
5	than March 17, 2017. The Government may file a reply no later than March 24, 2017.	
6	The Clerk shall renote the Government's motion for consideration on the Court's March	
7	24, 2017 calendar. The parties may file a motion to extend these deadlines if necessary.	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated this 7th day of March, 2017.	
10	$\int_{\Omega} \int C_{\alpha \beta}$	
11	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE	
12	United States District Judge	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		